No. 5 Ri	ng Road LISP II, Brg	GE PHILIPPINE INC	. INVESTIG	ATION REPOR	T FORM (IRF
Fax No.	ne No. (049) 545-716 (049) 545-6302	56 to 69	Inhouse Detecti		tomer Claim
Customer	SANYO DE	NKI	Control No.: 320	Date issued	20 11 05
Item Code			Attention To	Mr. Gerald De Guzman	
Item Description	CORRUGA	TED CARTON	Department Data of Potantia	PRODUCTION	
Job Order Number		0-M-01555-16	Date of Detection Section Detected	20 11 04	
		OF THE PROBLEM		QA - SCREENING	
*			Major Lat Quantity (c.)	Mino	or
			Lot Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Quantity (pcs.)	Reject Percentage
		The second second	5000	43	0.86%
			Nature of Defect:		
				POOR PRINT	
			Requirement:		
				No mottling on the solid imag	е
			Actual:		
				W/ mottling on the solid image	e
NO. OF OCCUP	RRENCE	DISPOSITION	AREA OF OCC	CURRENCE / ORIGIN	CONTENT
First		Hold	Slotter	Gluing	Material
Recurrence		Special Acceptance	EQOS	Vertical	
No.: 3	_	For Rework	Diecut	Others:	Dimension
Date: 20 11 04	_	Reject / Disposal	Detaching	Others.	Appearance
Issued by	У	Checked by	Approved	by	Process / Method Received by
Mm	2		AAD	. </td <td>(Receiving Section)</td>	(Receiving Section)
Adrian Verg		Ms. Noem Cepeda	Mr. Rexel Ain	(-)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
QA-IE Stat	ff	QA Supervisor	QA Asst. Man		Seraid De Guzman ead/Supervisor
			TION / ANALYSIS		
	Analyze the reaso	n of occurrence, why it happened?)	INDIRECT CAUSE	E: (Analyze the reason of occurre	nce, why it leaked?)
Why 1:			Why 1:		
My 3: My 4:	11/		Why 2:		
Why 3:	N/A		Why 3:	N/A	
			Why 4:		
Why 5:			Why 5:		
Why 1:			Why 1:		
Why 3: PLS. SEE ATTACHED		Why 2:			
		Why 3:	Why 3: PLS. SEE ATTACHED		
Why 4:			Why 4:		
Why 5:			Why 5:		
Why 1:			Why 1:		
Why 2: Why 3: Why 4:			Why 2:	,	
Why 3:	LS. SE	EATTACHED	Why 2: PIC	SEE ATTA	(N=D
Why 4:				11/1/20	med
Why 5:			Why 4:		
			Why 5:		

R

KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.

No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302

INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)

		(1) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		-NV-94-1			
				FINAL CO	NCLUSION		
	OCCURRE	NCE ROOTCAUSE				OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE	
- Consi - Conn	DIRATION OF STAGE PRINT.	smau text o TR. IN ma	PRINT Y	13. SOLID US.	-ni	EWONKABLE AND RANG OCCURRENCE	om
IMMEDIATE	ACTION: (Action to be d	one to contain/ temporary	correct the pr	roblem found)	CORRECTI	VE ACTION: (Actions to be done to ensure that the problem will	not happen again)
A. Sorting Result						Actions to be done to eliminate recurrence	Who / When
	Location	Total Stock	NG	Total Good			
RM	N/A					, ,) /,	
WIP	N/A				System	MA	
FG	N/a						
B. Orientation							
Date	N/A	Time	N/	'n	Design /	PLS. SEE ATTACHED	
Title			Tools	13. Jan Milhalley			
dees		NIA					
C. neworking	100 mg (100 mg)				anited (100) Smith	The state of the s	
Rework Quantity	Rework Quantity 687 pcs.			PIC COT ATTACK			
Total Good		584 p		menter (1.00 de la company	Process	PLS. SEE ATTACHED	
Rework Percent	age (Good)	930.00	930.00 % 93.14 %				
II. QA RO	TCAUSE VERIFICAT	The same of the sa	THE WAY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	manual district report of the later of the l	Date Conducted	1: 10 11 04 PIC: A. VERGOVO	
		ed Rootcause				Recommendation	
othe impre- not enough > If the im- gain blot	ssion applied to the fill-in the pression is the fill-in the fill-in the pression is the fill of the f	on the corru ne solid imag oo tight smo	gated h e of the all chave	ocards is e item acted will			
		III. CORREC	TIVE ACTIO	ON VERIFICATION	ON (To be filled	out by QA In-charge)	

	III. CORR	ECTIVE ACTIC	N VERIFICATI	ON (To be fi	lled out by QA In-charge)
	Checked by	Date	Implem	nented?	Remarks
1st Verification of Action	A-Vergara	201109	[/] Yes	[] No	C-A- is implemented (except for clarge materials)
2nd Verification of Action			[]Yes	[] No	
3rd Verification of Action			[]Yes	[] No	
Effectiveness of Action	A. Vergara	20 12 22	[/]Yes	[] No	C.A. is effective

Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action.

	IV. CLOSURE	
OUALITY ASSURANCE DEPAR	Approved by:	Process Owner Acknowledgment; (Receiving Section)
still pen	QA Supervisor QA Ass. Manager	Line Leader Department Head
Re-I sue IR	Date: GIOYIN	Date: 210414 Date: 210414
DATE AND	Wall	

DATE AND SIGNATURE

INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR POOR PRINT OF SANYO DENKI 00939007-01 CORRUGATED CARTON

DIRECT CAUSE PROCESS/MATERIAL W1- 1st factor is the consideration of small text print vs. solid image print.

W2- There are difficulty in operator to setup this item because of the combination of small text and solid image.

W3- There's a time that the print of Solid Image is compromise, because operator needs to consider that the print of small text will not be blotted.

SIMULATION:

PRINTING SETUP	TEXT	SOLID IMAGE
INK NIP: 6.2 PRINT PULL NIP: 2.6	Ascyclable Aspaとして使用でき!	
SPEED: 126 BPM	資源を大切にしましょう。	
REMARKS:	OGOOD	*NO GOOD
PRINTING SETUP	TEXT	SOLID IMAGE
INK NIP: 6.5 PRINT PULL NIP: 2.2	Recyclable 再生資源として使用でき!	
SPEED: 126 BPM	質問を大切にしましょう	
REMARKS:	₩NO GOOD	

DIRECT CAUSE PROCESS/MATERIAL W1- 2nd factor is the corrugated fiber in materials.

W2- We notice that there are lot of corrugated fiber in material that cause of spot in the solid image (584pcs for rework due to spot), including downtime in the process (5.43hrs total process time).

(OUTFLOW) ESS/MATERIAL

INDIRECT CAUSE W1- They proceed to mass production because the trial run was approved by QA Patrol.

W2- If Eqos operator notice Poor print they make adjustment in setup, but if is reworkable and occurrence is random so they stick on the existing setup.

	Separate the mylar of	f small text print to solid image print.
PIC:	DESIGN & PRODUCTION	TARGET DATE: NOV 6, 2020 /201107
Marie Control	, (Change materials
PIC:	SALES / MPD	TARGET DATE: November Neek 2
PREPAR	RED BY: GERALD DE GUZMAN PROD ASST. SUPERVISOR	* Will corrdinate to